

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No. 4:10CR3098
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	TENTATIVE
)	FINDINGS
LONIE OTIE CHANTHAVONG,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

I am in receipt of the presentence investigation report in this case. Except for the defendant's motion for variance, there are no objections or motions for departure or variance.

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) The undersigned will consult and follow the Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220(2005) and subsequent cases. *See, e.g., Gall v. U.S.*, --- S.Ct. ---, 2007 WL 4292116 (2007). In this regard, the undersigned gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, he will (a) give the advisory Guidelines such weight as they deserve within the context of each individual case and will filter the Guidelines' general advice through §3553(a)'s list of factors¹; (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury; (c) impose upon the government the burden of proof on all Guideline-enhancements; (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guideline-mitigators; (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a principled reason which justifies a sentence different than that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines.²

(2) Assuming that the defendant has been subjected to multiple prosecutions in state and federal court for similar conduct, I nevertheless deny the motion for variance. (Filing no.

¹However, I will no longer give the Guidelines "substantial weight."

²See note 1.

40 ¶ 2. *See also* filing no. 42). Given the defendant's propensity to commit violent crimes (e.g., PSR ¶¶ 55, 57, 58 and 61), his otherwise extensive criminal record landing him in criminal history category V (PSR ¶ 63), and his failure to follow through on his plea agreement with state authorities in Hall County, Nebraska, I am not persuaded that a variance is warranted under proper applications of the factors found in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) even if I assume that the facts asserted by the defendant are true. To the extent that the defendant is entitled to relief under U.S.S.G. § 5G1.3 (a question I do not resolve here), such relief would serve to ameliorate any perceived unfairness arising from multiple prosecutions for essentially the same conduct.

(3) Except to the extent (if at all) that I have sustained an objection or granted a motion or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are herewith notified that my tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.

(4) If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, said party shall, as soon as possible, but in any event at least five (5) business days before sentencing, file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the court a motion challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.

(5) Absent submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph of this order, my tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be adopted and relied upon by me without more.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

April 14, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Koff

United States District Judge